

**REMARKS**

On page three of the Notice, the Examiner asserted the following with regard to the Amendment filed September 6, 2007:

The reply filed on 9/6/2007 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because of the following omission(s) or matter(s): The applicant did not address the specification rejection relating the use of the term "temporary storage" in claim 14. The applicant must reply to every ground of objection and rejection in the prior Office action. See 37 CFR 1.111.

Regarding the Examiner's Assertion on page 8 of the Sixth Office Action that "[t]he applicant's specification does not describe 'temporary storage' as claimed in claim 14," Applicants are unclear as to the exact basis of the Examiner's objection. The phrase "temporary storage" is found throughout Applicants' specification (e.g., page 13, line 22; page 14, line 16; page 19, lines 14 and 20; and feature 400 in Fig. 5b). Applicants also note that the term "temporary storage" is term commonly used with regard to computers.<sup>1</sup> As such, Applicants are unclear as to why the Examiner believes that Applicants' specification does not describe "temporary storage."

---

<sup>1</sup> A search using the phrase "temporary storage" + "computer" yields over 350,000 hits on google.com and 12,113 hits of issued U.S. patents on <http://patft.uspto.gov/netahtml/PTO/search-adv.htm>.

Application No.: 10/017,728

To the extent necessary, a petition for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 is hereby made. Please charge any shortage in fees due in connection with the filing of this paper, including extension of time fees, to Deposit Account 09-0461, and please credit any excess fees to such deposit account.

Date: December 26, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

/Scott D. Paul/

Scott D. Paul

Registration No. 42,984

Steven M. Greenberg

Registration No. 44,725

Phone: (561) 922-3845

CUSTOMER NUMBER 46320